

**TRUST BOARD – 24 July 2013**  
**Board Assurance Framework 2013/14**

<b>PURPOSE</b>	To present to the Committee the draft Board Assurance Framework 2013/14 to reflect the Trust Annual objectives 2013/14 for consideration.
<b>PREVIOUSLY CONSIDERED BY</b>	Executive Committee RAQC, July 2013 – minor adjustments made
<b>Objective(s) to which issue relates *</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. To continuously improve the quality of our services in order to provide the best care and optimise health outcomes for each and every individual accessing the Trust's services <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. To excel at customer service, achieving outstanding levels of communication and patient, carer and GP satisfaction <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. To provide and support the best standards of integrated care for the elderly and those with long term conditions by developing key partnerships and services <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4. To consolidate services and enhance local access to specialist services in order to deliver high quality, safe, seamless, innovative and integrated services which are sustainable <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5. To support the continued development of the Mount Vernon Cancer Centre and provision of leading local and tertiary cancer services <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6. To improve our staff engagement and organisational culture to be amongst the best nationally
<b>Risk Issues</b> (Quality, safety, financial, HR, legal issues, equality issues)	Integral part of the Trust's Quality Governance & Risk Management Strategy  As identified in the report
<b>Healthcare/ National Policy</b> (includes CQC/Monitor)	Key element of governance and risk management structures  Healthcare Regulation through CQC , key component of NHSLA requirements and central to the Trust's FT application
<b>CRR/Board Assurance Framework *</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Corporate Risk Register</b> <span style="margin-left: 200px;"><input type="checkbox"/> <b>BAF</b></span>
<b>ACTION REQUIRED *</b>	
For approval	<input type="checkbox"/>
For discussion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
For decision	<input type="checkbox"/>
For information	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>DIRECTOR:</b>	Chief Executive
<b>PRESENTED BY:</b>	Company Secretary
<b>AUTHOR:</b>	Company Secretary
<b>DATE:</b>	July 2013

## Board Assurance Framework 2013/14

### TRUST BOARD: July 2013

#### Executive Summary:

The Audit Committee approved the format of the Board Assurance framework (BAF) at its meeting in May. The Board subsequently requested greater visibility of the measures for each of the annual objectives and the BAF has now been updated to include the measures or the names of the report where the full measures are listed and monitored.

The RAQC reviewed the latest version of the BAF at its meeting in July, prior to submission to Board. The BAF has been updated to reflect the discussions at RAQC including:

- Pg 5, the review of the risk forecast for mortality due to impact of the SHMI forecast not being within the as expected range on the next data releases prior to improvement.
- Pg 29 & 31 reflecting the gap in assurance on unfilled shifts

The BAF will remain a live document with ongoing work to continue to ensure the strategic risks are appropriately identified, documented and mitigated. There is ongoing work to strengthen the controls, actions and links to known future sources of internal and independent assurance.

The BAF will continue to be reviewed and updated with Executive Directors at least quarterly and then reported to RAQC and Board for scrutiny and assurance. The Company Secretary will retain core oversight of the document.

## Board Assurance Framework 2013/14

The Board Assurance Framework contains the principal risks associated to the achievement and delivery of the Trust Strategic Aims and Annual and. The Strategic Aims, from which the 2013/14 annual objectives have been framed, build on the previous work of the Trust and support the delivery of the Trust's vision: *To be amongst the best* and the Trust's values.

### Strategic aims 2013-2018

1. To continuously improve the quality of our services in order to provide the best care and optimise health outcomes for each and every individual accessing the Trust's services.
2. To excel at customer service, achieving outstanding levels of communication and patient, carer and GP satisfaction.
3. To provide and support the best standards of integrated care for the elderly and those with long term conditions by developing key partnerships and services.
4. To consolidate services and enhance local access to specialist services in order to deliver high quality, safe, seamless, innovative and integrated services which are sustainable.
5. To support the continued development of the Mount Vernon Cancer Centre and provision of leading local and tertiary cancer services.
6. To improve our staff engagement and organisational culture to be amongst the best nationally.

The risk traffic light definitions are:

- Red:** A significant failure to mitigate a risk either through lack of controls identified (or poorly framed controls), with a high likelihood of the risk being realised in the short term.
- Amber:** On course to be mitigated, given the controls identified, but further work required in delivering the agreed actions.
- Green:** The risk has been mitigated as defined by the controls and actions identified. These risks will continue to be displayed on the framework so that assurances received can be kept up to date.

### Trust Board Assurance Framework 2013/14

<b>Trust Strategic Objective 1: To continuously improve the quality of our services in order to provide the best care and optimise health outcomes for each and every individual accessing the Trust's services.</b>				
<b>Trust Annual Objectives 2013/14:</b>		<b>Forecast RAG</b>	<b>Achieved</b>	<b>Measures</b>
1.1	To improve patient outcomes thereby achieving a governance risk rating of 'amber/green', or better.			<b>GRR/ CQC summary/ performance report &amp; floodlight</b>
1.2	To reduce mortality and deliver HSMR and SHMI within the 'as expected' range or better ( <i>Trust Improvement priority 1</i> )			<b>Floodlight/ mortality report</b>
1.3	To improve the safety and outcomes of patients by:			
	i) implementing the priorities set out in the Improving Clinical Outcomes strategy			<b>Floodlight/ mortality report/ MD report</b>
	ii) delivering the agreed pathways to improve patient outcomes and ensure the achievement of the agreed CQUIN targets			<b>Floodlight</b>
	iii) improving post operative outcomes for high risk patients (deteriorating patient, frail elderly, co-morbidities) ( <i>Trust Improvement priority 3</i> )			<b>Floodlight/ mortality report/ MD report</b>
1.4	Have a zero tolerance approach to hospital acquired infection and comply with the hygiene code			<b>Floodlight/ IC report</b>
1.5	To deliver on the key priorities for 2013/14 agreed within the Quality Account Priorities ( <i>improving safety, improving clinical outcomes, staff development /engagement, improving patient experiences</i> )			<b>Floodlight/ MD&amp; DoN report/ QA/ Quality Indicators</b>

Obj No.	Principal Risk /Current Risk Score <i>(what should prevent this objective being achieved)</i> * Risk score – Likelihood v Consequence and Trust's risk scoring matrix = RAG rating	CQC / TDA Performance Framework Reference	Key Controls <i>(what controls/systems do we have in place to ensure we deliver our objectives)</i>	Assurance Obtained on Controls			Board Action Plan			
				Positive Assurance <i>(what evidence shows the risks are being managed and objectives are being delivered)</i>	Gaps in Controls <i>(where are we failing to put controls in place? Where are we failing to make them effective)</i>	Gaps in Assurance <i>(where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls/systems are effective)</i>	Action Plan	Responsible Director / Key Assurance Committee	6 Month (Sept 13) Forecast	Year End Forecast
1.1	1. Risk of breach of CQC regulations and increase level of performance management & scrutiny by TDA, CCG and regulatory bodies.  <b>(Risk carried forward from 2012/13 BAF)</b>	All regulations / FRR/ GRR	Governance Risk Rating & exception reports to Trust Boards Monthly Compliance & Governance Assurance Maps reports to RAQC, Monthly review of CQC QRP. Risk register Internal review process for all CQC Outcomes. Infection control action plans monitored weekly by DIPC/ Executive Comm Floodlight Scorecard – all areas  Internal unannounced & announced ward visits /Pt Stories by various members of Board  Reports to RAQC IG Steering	Registration without compliance conditions across all locations  Evidence logs for all CQC outcomes; internal review of all outcomes – compliant; April 2013  NHSLA level 2 & CNST level 1  Positive CQC unannounced Inspection reports 2012/13 Positive QRP's 2012/13 & 2013/14 to date  Quality Account 2012/13 Internal Audits – Governance/ Performance CSS & Cancer (positive)	Ensuring registration standards continue to be embedded across Divisions.  Compliance with all infection control targets (MRSA screening/C diff )  Monitoring of completion/outcomes of all mandatory Clinical Audits  Meeting patient experience expectations  CQC reviewing standards and regulatory process	Compliance with IC policy  Implementation of Health & Safety Strategy  Full completion of Clinical Audit Programme  Areas in National Patient Survey  Quality indicators at ward level – not all data sources available in timely manner.  <i>Internal Audit (IA) - Risk &amp; Assurance &amp; IG in 23/24</i>  Outcomes of IA Programme reports due inc Clinical Audit	Action plans in place for targets and standards at risk of not performing within the 'achieved' thresholds  Implementation of infection control RCA's and C.Diff action plan  Monthly review of QRP and other external & internal information to target areas at potential risk of non compliance  IG Toolkit action plan  Monitoring of NQD, Quality Matrix & Patient Experience at Performance Reviews  Internal Audit programme 2013/14	All Executive Directors RAQC/FPC		

Obj No.	Principal Risk /Current Risk Score <i>(what should prevent this objective being achieved)</i> * Risk score – Likelihood v Consequence and Trust's risk scoring matrix = RAG rating	CQC / TDA Performance Framework Reference	Key Controls <i>(what controls/systems do we have in place to ensure we deliver our objectives)</i>	Assurance Obtained on Controls			Board Action Plan			
				Positive Assurance <i>(what evidence shows the risks are being managed and objectives are being delivered)</i>	Gaps in Controls <i>(where are we failing to put controls in place? Where are we failing to make them effective)</i>	Gaps in Assurance <i>(where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls/systems are effective)</i>	Action Plan	Responsible Director / Key Assurance Committee	6 Month (Sept 13) Forecast	Year End Forecast
			Group Annual review of statement of purpose (2013)	IG Toolkit submission 2012/13 – level 2 & satisfactory & Internal Audit  MVCC Quality visit by CCG – positive			Clinical Audit Programme 2013/14  Review governance structure/processes in line with new CQC requirements when published.			
1.2 1.3 1.5	2. Risk that service pathway changes and changes to the coding service model do not deliver the reductions in mortality set.  <b>(Risk carried forward from 2012/13)</b>	Regulation 9, 10 (outcome 4 & 16)	Monitoring of mortality at Trust, Divisional and Consultant Level. Regular reports to RAQC & Board. Clinical Audit Programme. Care pathway reviews. Monthly Coding Mortality report & twice a month coding review meetings. SPC charts reviewed prior to submission to SUS. Floodlight scorecard report – Clinical Outcomes Report to Execs	Dr Foster reports. Service Pathway reviews. HSMR benchmarking reports. Mortality outlier investigation reports. Improvement in depth of coding. HSMR action plan agreed with SHA & PCT Regular coding and mortality meetings inc Herts Mortality review group. Improvements in HSMR predicted rebase 97 for 2012/13 (green), & SHMI now 111	Ineffective implementation of the process to ensure data quality  Leadership for the coding team/ward clerks	Mortality review/feedback from individual consultants incomplete at present.  Coding model continues to be reviewed & strengthened  Review of effectiveness of all CQUIN pathway changes not yet known	Implement Improving patient outcome priorities & strategy  SHMI/ HSMR Action plan & service pathway reviews  Continued monitoring of mortality rates against benchmarks & implementation of specific Divisional action plans to reduce mortality  Review of low risk conditions  Weekly reports to	Medical Director/ RAQC, Clinical Governance Strategy Committee/ Coding Review Group	Risk reviewed & increased, July 2013	Risk reviewed & increased, July 2013

Obj No.	Principal Risk /Current Risk Score <i>(what should prevent this objective being achieved)</i> * Risk score – Likelihood v Consequence and Trust's risk scoring matrix = RAG rating	CQC / TDA Performance Framework Reference	Key Controls <i>(what controls/systems do we have in place to ensure we deliver our objectives)</i>	Assurance Obtained on Controls			Board Action Plan				
				Positive Assurance <i>(what evidence shows the risks are being managed and objectives are being delivered)</i>	Gaps in Controls <i>(where are we failing to put controls in place? Where are we failing to make them effective)</i>	Gaps in Assurance <i>(where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls/systems are effective)</i>	Action Plan	Responsible Director / Key Assurance Committee	6 Month (Sept 13) Forecast	Year End Forecast	
				(as expected). Favourable mortality on all reconfigured services. CQC Mortality Outlier alerts - #NOF & Septicaemia, Therapeutic; alerts closed Improving outcome report to RAQC/Board Dec 2012 No mortality alerts for 2012/13 New RHD programme Internal review against risk responsive reviews			DEC/Execs  Implementation of findings from pathway reviews and outlier alerts  #NOF action plan monitoring impact of service changes  Implement coding review action plan  Implement learning from national Risk Responsive reviews				
1.1	3. Risk that demand management does not reflect CCG commissioning intentions leading to insufficient capacity & delivery of performance targets  (Short term risk)  <b>(Risk carried forward from</b>	GRR / FRR	CCG/Trust commissioning/ contract monitoring meetings. QIPP committee. Activity & demand reports to FPC New regional Urgent Care Board	Significant clinical engagement from the Trust regarding possible changes to the way we respond to the QIPP/Demand Management Challenge. Schemes	The Trust is not the responsible organisation for delivery of activity reductions/DTO Cs- The Trust provide input & support through the CCG/Urgent Care Board to deliver the planned	Limited history of sustained delivery on demand management  Increased acuity of patients attending A&E	Work with CCG to deliver robust plans for activity reductions and monitor through QIPP steering group and contract meetings  Capacity plan to allow scope for increasing capacity if	Director of Operations / Director of Finance			

Obj No.	Principal Risk /Current Risk Score <i>(what should prevent this objective being achieved)</i> * Risk score – Likelihood v Consequence and Trust's risk scoring matrix = RAG rating	CQC / TDA Performance Framework Reference	Key Controls <i>(what controls/systems do we have in place to ensure we deliver our objectives)</i>	Assurance Obtained on Controls			Board Action Plan			
				Positive Assurance <i>(what evidence shows the risks are being managed and objectives are being delivered)</i>	Gaps in Controls <i>(where are we failing to put controls in place? Where are we failing to make them effective)</i>	Gaps in Assurance <i>(where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls/systems are effective)</i>	Action Plan	Responsible Director / Key Assurance Committee	6 Month (Sept 13) Forecast	Year End Forecast
	<b>2012/13)</b>			developed by specialty. Negotiated revised contract with private sector providers at discounted rates. Activity is broadly in line with commissioning intentions	reductions		demand management is unsuccessful  Review of DTOCs  C Diff action plan  A&E action plan  OCH Programme Review of bed configuration TIMP			
1.3	<b>4. Risk that CQUIN targets will not be delivered, leading to failure to achieve financial payments</b>	Regulation 9, 10 (outcome 4 & 16)	Details of CQUIN fully agreed between both parties. Trust Floodlight scorecard (CQUIN)/ CCG contract monitoring/ Quality Review meeting	Monthly reports and to FPC & RAQC	Baseline audits required for number of new pathway measures & SHMI used an indicator  VTE performance fell in Q1 21013/14  SMHI being used by CCG as measure for some mortality pathways	Current information systems/ processes may not support robust monitoring from Divisional to Board Level  VTE performance  <i>Clinical Audit Programme</i>	Continue to monitor delivery against each known individual CQUIN element and strive to improve performance where necessary.  FFT action plan & monitoring  Dementia action plan  Clinical Audit Programme	Director of Finance/ FPC/ RAQC  Director of Finance/ Medical Director/ Director of Operations/ Director of Nursing		



Obj No.	Principal Risk /Current Risk Score <i>(what should prevent this objective being achieved)</i> * Risk score – Likelihood v Consequence and Trust's risk scoring matrix = RAG rating	CQC / TDA Performance Framework Reference	Key Controls <i>(what controls/systems do we have in place to ensure we deliver our objectives)</i>	Assurance Obtained on Controls			Board Action Plan			
				Positive Assurance <i>(what evidence shows the risks are being managed and objectives are being delivered)</i>	Gaps in Controls <i>(where are we failing to put controls in place? Where are we failing to make them effective)</i>	Gaps in Assurance <i>(where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls/systems are effective)</i>	Action Plan	Responsible Director / Key Assurance Committee	6 Month (Sept 13) Forecast	Year End Forecast
1.3 1.1	5. Risk that falls and pressure ulcer prevention policies are not consistently applied <b>(Risk carried forward from 2012/13)</b>	Outcomes 1,4,5,8	Policies Floodlight Score card Monitoring through Exec/DEC & RAQC NMEC TVN's Training  Falls co-ordinator post holder has commenced, working within acute Trust and across into community – 18 month secondment TVS Team	Met trajectory for agreed reduction in 2012/13  Significant reduction in falls in Medicine	Consistency in application of policies	Evidence of consistency in application of policies	Monitor implementation of plan & quality matrix  Implement & share learning from RCAs  Implement recommendations from nursing establishment review	Director of Nursing/ RAQC		
1.4	6. Risk of not meeting C Difficile target <b>(Risk carried forward from 2012/13)</b>	Outcome 8	Policies Floodlight Score card ICT team Audit Programme Divisional IC Meetings Monitoring through Exec/DEC Timely information from MVCC/ HHT pathology – process agreed Weekly	Reports to IC, RAQC & Board RCAs Care Bundles Deep Clean Programme	Trust wide consistency in application of Policies	Trust wide consistency in application of Policies	RCA's on all case  Ensure deep clean programme  Delivery of IC Audit Programme  Communication Plan  IC Committee workshop  C Diff action plan	Director of Nursing/ RAQC		

Obj No.	Principal Risk /Current Risk Score <i>(what should prevent this objective being achieved)</i> * Risk score – Likelihood v Consequence and Trust's risk scoring matrix = RAG rating	CQC / TDA Performance Framework Reference	Key Controls <i>(what controls/systems do we have in place to ensure we deliver our objectives)</i>	Assurance Obtained on Controls			Board Action Plan				
				Positive Assurance <i>(what evidence shows the risks are being managed and objectives are being delivered)</i>	Gaps in Controls <i>(where are we failing to put controls in place? Where are we failing to make them effective)</i>	Gaps in Assurance <i>(where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls/systems are effective)</i>	Action Plan	Responsible Director / Key Assurance Committee	6 Month (Sept 13) Forecast	Year End Forecast	
			monitoring at Execs/DEC								

<b>QUARTERLY TRUST BOARD PROGRESS REPORTS (Executive Director Lead):</b> <i>(include progress on meeting the objective and the management of the principle risk)</i>	
<b>Quarter 1 Progress Report:</b>	1.1: Quarterly GRR is Amber/green due to C Diff. Actions in place 1.2 Rebase HSMR predicted at 97. SHMI currently within the as expected range. Risk reviewed at RAQC & increased, July 2013: due to impact of the SHMI forecast not being in the as expected range on the next data releases prior to improvement. 1.3 i) strategy to RAQC for approval in July 13 1.4: Compliant with hygiene code. C. Diff 7 at end of June against target of 14. 2 x community acquired. RCA's completed. Escalation meetings and action plan in place. Deep clean programme.
<b>Quarter 2 Progress Report:</b>	
<b>Quarter 3 Progress Report:</b>	
<b>Quarter 4 Progress Report:</b>	

Trust Strategic Objective 2: To excel at customer service, achieving outstanding levels of communication and patient, carer and GP satisfaction.						
Trust Annual Objectives 2013/14:			Forecast RAG	Achieved	Measures	
2.1	Achieve improved levels of GP satisfaction with Trust communication and services (measure clinical correspondence contract performance & GP survey/feedback)					GP Survey
2.2	To implement the Carer friendly hospital strategy					Progress against milestones/ DoN report
2.3	To implement the Patient and Carer Experience Strategy and review identified priorities ( <i>Trust Improvement priority 5- Improving the experience of patients using our services</i> )					Progress against milestones/ DoN report
2.4	To improve the administration processes and patient experience in relation to Outpatients					Complaints/Pat feedback/ reduce DNAs

Obj No.	Principal Risk /Current Risk Score  <i>(what should prevent this objective being achieved)</i> * Risk score – Likelihood v Consequence and Trust's risk scoring matrix = RAG rating	CQC /TDA Performance Framework Reference	Key Controls <i>(what controls/systems do we have in place to ensure we deliver our objectives)</i>	Assurance Obtained on Controls			Board Action Plan			
				Positive Assurance <i>(what evidence shows the risks are being managed and objectives are being delivered)</i>	Gaps in Controls <i>(where are we failing to put controls in place? Where are we failing to make them effective)</i>	Gaps in Assurance <i>(where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls/systems are effective)</i>	Action Plan	Responsible Director / Key Assurance Committee	6 Month (Sept 12) Forecast	Year End Forecast
2.1	1. Risk of delays to service change or adverse changes to commissioning pathways		CCG engagement forums Primary Care Customer Relations Manager Newsletter	Clinical involvement in pathway changes GP helpline GP update	Proactive engagement at across all areas	Proactive engagement at across all areas  New structures	Engagement plan  GP surveys	Director of Strategic Development /RAQC		

Obj No.	Principal Risk /Current Risk Score  <i>(what should prevent this objective being achieved)</i> * Risk score – Likelihood v Consequence and Trust's risk scoring matrix = RAG rating	CQC /TDA Performance Framework Reference	Key Controls <i>(what controls/systems do we have in place to ensure we deliver our objectives)</i>	Assurance Obtained on Controls			Board Action Plan				
				Positive Assurance <i>(what evidence shows the risks are being managed and objectives are being delivered)</i>	Gaps in Controls <i>(where are we failing to put controls in place? Where are we failing to make them effective)</i>	Gaps in Assurance <i>(where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls/systems are effective)</i>	Action Plan	Responsible Director / Key Assurance Committee	6 Month (Sept 12) Forecast	Year End Forecast	
			Engagement with OSC, Healthwatch, Involvement Committee Attendance in Board Development Sessions								
2.2 2.3	2. Risk that we don't improve on the 5 national composite patient experience questions and net promoter (FFT)  <b>(risk revised from BAF 2012/13)</b>	All core CQC outcomes	Patient Experience Committee ARC Steering group and programme  Audit & Survey programme  Patient & Carer Experience Strategy / Focus groups  Nursing & Midwifery Strategy Weekly reporting in place by ward.	Carer survey – implemented Internal Audit report on Patient Experience  Friends & Family test & meridian tracker results  Positive improvement demonstrated for 2012/13 -	New national methodology for the net promoter score – rolled out to A&E	Consistent Net promoter score across all areas.  Number of areas identified in National and Local patient experience survey as now performing in upper quartile	divisional performance is being monitored at PMR's  Implementation of Patient and Carer strategies  Implementation of Internal Audit recommendations  Implementation of Care Friendly Hospital Plan	Director of Nursing / RAQC			
2.4	3.Risk of ability for current IM&T infrastructure to fully support the technological		IMIT strategy Patient Experience and Care feedback processes /			Negative Patient Experience & Compliant feedback	Review of IM&T strategy with stakeholder involvement	Director of Operations/ Director of Finance/ RAQC & FPC			

Obj No.	Principal Risk /Current Risk Score  (what should prevent this objective being achieved) * Risk score – Likelihood v Consequence and Trust's risk scoring matrix = RAG rating	CQC /TDA Performance Framework Reference	Key Controls (what controls/systems do we have in place to ensure we deliver our objectives)	Assurance Obtained on Controls			Board Action Plan				
				Positive Assurance (what evidence shows the risks are being managed and objectives are being delivered)	Gaps in Controls (where are we failing to put controls in place? Where are we failing to make them effective)	Gaps in Assurance (where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls/systems are effective)	Action Plan	Responsible Director / Key Assurance Committee	6 Month (Sept 12) Forecast	Year End Forecast	
	changes required		reports to RAQC			DNA rates	CSS to implement centralised call centre  Review of administration processes & implementation of action plans by divisions				

<b>QUARTERLY TRUST BOARD PROGRESS REPORTS (Executive Director Lead):</b> (include progress on meeting the objective and the management of the principle risk)	
Quarter 1 Progress Report:	2.2 & 2.3: Update on first year review of Patient and carer experience strategy to RAQC in July.
Quarter 2 Progress Report:	
Quarter 3 Progress Report:	
Quarter 4 Progress Report:	

Trust Strategic Objective 3: To provide and support the best standards of integrated care for the elderly and those with long term conditions by developing key partnerships and services					
Trust Annual Objectives 2013/14:			Fore cast RAG	Achi eved	Measures
3.1	To work with key partners and provide leadership to define and deliver year 3 of the Hertfordshire QIPP plan				Floodlight Scorecard/
3.2	To enhance quality of life by reducing emergency admissions for acute conditions and enabling patients to stay at home (Trust Improvement Priority 2)				Set out in Improvem ent Priority
3.3	To improve In-patient Care of Diabetes (Trust Improvement Priority 4)				Set in Improvem ent Priority
3.4	To implement and deliver the 2013/14 milestones within the Older Persons strategy				Milestones in strategy
3.5	To implement the dementia alliance framework				Set out in strategy/
3.6	To shape the AHSN workstreams, engage GP's in the pathways and work with the fellows of the higher education institutes to evaluate the improvements				

Obj No.	Principal Risk /Current Risk Score  (what should prevent this objective being achieved) * Risk score – Likelihood v Consequence and Trust's risk scoring matrix = RAG rating	CQC / SHA Performan ce Framewor k Reference	Key Controls (what controls/systems do we have in place to ensure we deliver our objectives)	Assurance Obtained on Controls			Board Action Plan			
				Positive Assurance (what evidence shows the risks are being managed and objectives are being delivered)	Gaps in Controls (where are we failing to put controls in place? Where are we failing to make them effective)	Gaps in Assurance (where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls/systems are effective)	Action Plan	Responsible Director / Key Assurance Committee	6 Month (Sept 13) Forecast	Year End Forecast
3.1	1. Risk that whole systems QIPP plans that have an impact on demand, capacity & finance in this Organisation are not fully delivered  (cross ref to risk in objective 3 – short	GRR/FRR  Various CQC outcomes	Programme Implementation Board (PIB), Monthly SLA contract meeting, Herts QIPP meeting. CCG have adopted a programme management	Jointly agreed Hertfordshire QIPP programme signed by all CEO's in March 2011. Individual workstreams Trust Director of Finance Chairs	The Trust provide input & support through the CCG & CCGs/Community Trust to deliver the planned reductions.	Increased levels of non elective activity in 2012/13.	Continue to work with CCG to deliver robust plans for activity reductions and monitor through QIPP Board and SLA meetings  Review demand	Director of Strategic Development / Director of Finance/FPC		

Obj No.	Principal Risk /Current Risk Score <i>(what should prevent this objective being achieved)</i> * Risk score – Likelihood v Consequence and Trust's risk scoring matrix = RAG rating	CQC / SHA Performance Framework Reference	Key Controls <i>(what controls/systems do we have in place to ensure we deliver our objectives)</i>	Assurance Obtained on Controls			Board Action Plan				
				Positive Assurance <i>(what evidence shows the risks are being managed and objectives are being delivered)</i>	Gaps in Controls <i>(where are we failing to put controls in place? Where are we failing to make them effective)</i>	Gaps in Assurance <i>(where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls/systems are effective)</i>	Action Plan	Responsible Director / Key Assurance Committee	6 Month (Sept 13) Forecast	Year End Forecast	
	term)		<p>structure for implementation. OCH plans factor in some flexibility to increase capability to increase should plans not deliver reductions.</p> <p>Market report to FPC reports activity against FBC assumptions.</p> <p>Trust membership of CCG led QEII Steering Group.</p> <p>Trust established New QEII Programme Board to plan mobilisation and service delivery of New QEII Hospital New Urgent Care Board</p>	<p>the County-wide QIPP Board since January 2011.</p> <p>New QEII FBC approved</p> <p>Financial close for New QEII achieved in March 2013</p>	Non elective activity only paid at 30% tariff if above activity plan		<p>management delivery with a view to confirming capacity requirements in conjunction with the QIPP Board.</p> <p>Continue to work with the CCG to develop the operational policies and clinical pathways required</p>				
3.2 3.3 3.4	<b>2. Risk to delays in service /improvement pathway reviews due to potential need for financial</b>		CGSC/ Patient Safety Committee Reports to RAQC Agreed improvement priorities		Gaps analysis currently being undertaken	Outcome from gap analysis	<p>Implementation of the Improvement Priorities 2 &amp; 4</p> <p>Implementation of the Older persons</p>	Medical Director/Director of Operations / RAQC			

Obj No.	Principal Risk /Current Risk Score <i>(what should prevent this objective being achieved)</i> * Risk score – Likelihood v Consequence and Trust’s risk scoring matrix = RAG rating	CQC / SHA Performance Framework Reference	Key Controls <i>(what controls/systems do we have in place to ensure we deliver our objectives)</i>	Assurance Obtained on Controls			Board Action Plan			
				Positive Assurance <i>(what evidence shows the risks are being managed and objectives are being delivered)</i>	Gaps in Controls <i>(where are we failing to put controls in place? Where are we failing to make them effective)</i>	Gaps in Assurance <i>(where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls/systems are effective)</i>	Action Plan	Responsible Director / Key Assurance Committee	6 Month (Sept 13) Forecast	Year End Forecast
	investment to enable changes & quality improvements						strategy			
3.5	3. Risk of lack of specialist dementia knowledge across the workforce	CQC outcomes	Dementia Strategy Training Program Adult Safeguarding Lead				Implementation of the Dementia Strategy Action Plan  Seek approval for recruitment of Dementia Nurse Specialist	Director of Nursing/ RAQC		
3.6	4. (AHSC – risk being scoped)		AHSC Director of Strategic Development AO of Hertfordshire Node					Director of Strategic Development		

<b>QUARTERLY TRUST BOARD PROGRESS REPORTS (Executive Director Lead):</b> <i>(include progress on meeting the objective and the management of the principle risk)</i>	
Quarter 1 Progress Report:	
Quarter 2 Progress Report:	
Quarter 3 Progress Report:	
Quarter 4 Progress Report:	



<b>Trust Strategic Objective 4: To consolidate services and enhance local access to specialist services in order to deliver high quality, safe, seamless, innovative and integrated services which are sustainable.</b>				
<b>Trust Annual Objectives 2013/14:</b>		<b>Forecast RAG</b>	<b>Achieved</b>	<b>Measures</b>
4.1	To ensure the delivery of safe services at the QEII during the final phase of the consolidation programme, ensuring the effective management of patient safety and clinical risks associated with the delays to the Phase 4 programme			Floodlight
4.2	To approve the Chemotherapy FBC			Floodlight / OCH report
4.3	To approve the Pathology Hot Lab FBC			Floodlight / OCH report
4.4	To approve the TPP Joint Venture and commence transformation of pathology services			Floodlight / OCH report
4.5	Deliver the health records project in line with the approved FBC			Floodlight / OCH report
4.6	To reach agreement with commissioners on pathways for services to be provided from the New QEII including children's services, local A&E and rapid assessment			Agreements in place
4.7	Ensure improvements in the stroke care pathway to deliver a Hyper Acute Stroke Unit			Performance report/ floodlight
4.8	To implement a 24/7 PPCI (Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention) service			Service implementation
4.9	Through targeted marketing ensure the maternity service is working to full capacity, 5250* births.			Marketing report/ Divisional floodlight
4.10	To work with partners to deliver requirements of vascular review and to maintain the quality of services			
4.11	Explore with Commissioners further opportunities for developing integrated care			Reports
4.12	To improve the financial efficiency of the Trust and deliver the financial forecast and the cost improvement programme across the Trust for 2013/14			CIP delivery-floodlight
4.13	To implement Service Line Reporting, ensuring that it is used to inform decision making at all levels within the organisation demonstrating service improvement contribution			SLR project plan milestones
4.14	To deliver on the milestones agreed with the Trust Development Authority to achieve authorisation as a NHS Foundation Trust			TDA elf certification

Obj No.	Principal Risk /Current Risk Score <i>(what should prevent this objective being achieved)</i> * Risk score – Likelihood v Consequence and Trust's risk scoring matrix = RAG rating	CQC / SHA Performance Framework Reference	Key Controls <i>(what controls/systems do we have in place to ensure we deliver our objectives)</i>	Assurance Obtained on Controls			Board Action Plan			
				Positive Assurance <i>(what evidence shows the risks are being managed and objectives are being delivered)</i>	Gaps in Controls <i>(where are we failing to put controls in place? Where are we failing to make them effective)</i>	Gaps in Assurance <i>(where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls/systems are effective)</i>	Action Plan	Responsible Director / Key Assurance Committee	6 Month (Sept 13) Forecast	Year End Forecast
	1. Risk of sustainability of QEII as an acute site until final consolidation <b>(Risk carried forward from 2012/13 BAF)</b>	All Outcomes	OCH Programme Board Monthly OCH reports to Board and FBC Clinical Risk report to RAQC Quality Governance Risk Management strategy & escalation process for risks New staff contracts – organisational not site specific & rotation across sites Monitoring through Divisional Performance reviews Nursing Staffing establishment review March 2012 & 2013	Wards and Theatres FBC approved by Trust Board, DH/HTM in January 2012  Treasury approved for ED FBC  PCT achieved financial close for New QEII in March 2013. New QEII will open in Spring 2015.			Manage construction and development of operational plans to achieve the planned acute consolidation in October 2014 and to commence provision of service from the New QEII in Spring 2015.  Monitoring of quality and performance indicators & actions. –including new nursing matrix, safety thermometer  Implementation of recommendations from Nursing establishment review	Director of Nursing/ Medical Director/Director of Strategic Development		
4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7	2. Risk of lack of capacity & competing priorities to enable dedicated time to enable delivery against plan <b>(Risk carried forward from</b>		OCH programme board Project workstreams Monitoring through Board, FPC	History of successful delivery against complex project plans		FBC require approval for the projects	Finalise and obtain approval of the project business cases as per the OCH programme	Director of Strategic Development/ FPC		

Obj No.	Principal Risk /Current Risk Score <i>(what should prevent this objective being achieved)</i> * Risk score – Likelihood v Consequence and Trust’s risk scoring matrix = RAG rating	CQC / SHA Performance Framework Reference	Key Controls <i>(what controls/systems do we have in place to ensure we deliver our objectives)</i>	Assurance Obtained on Controls			Board Action Plan			
				Positive Assurance <i>(what evidence shows the risks are being managed and objectives are being delivered)</i>	Gaps in Controls <i>(where are we failing to put controls in place? Where are we failing to make them effective)</i>	Gaps in Assurance <i>(where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls/systems are effective)</i>	Action Plan	Responsible Director / Key Assurance Committee	6 Month (Sept 13) Forecast	Year End Forecast
	<b>2012/13 BAF)</b>									
4 All	3. Risk of non achievement of productivity and quality benefits  <b>(Risk carried forward from 2012/13 BAF)</b>	Various CQC outcomes	As a key component of OCH & individual business cases mobilisation plans are in place - including clinical engagement, workforce development, and service changes. All business cases include outcome measures Monthly reporting through Project Boards, OCH Programme Board, OCH workforce management group, FPC and Trust Board – including progress against plan	Progress to date on Phases 1 & 2 and elements of Phase 4 have demonstrated adherence to plan.  Project Boards are responsible for delivery of Benefits Realisation Plan for individual projects. OCH workforce management group, chaired by Director of Workforce & OD, to coordinate & lead delivery of required workforce changes. Trust staff consultations to achieve OCH March 2013 OGC Gateway review concluded that the programme will be delivered to plan.	None identified		Post project evaluations and implementation of learning	Director of Strategic Development /FPC		

Obj No.	Principal Risk /Current Risk Score <i>(what should prevent this objective being achieved)</i> * Risk score – Likelihood v Consequence and Trust's risk scoring matrix = RAG rating	CQC / SHA Performance Framework Reference	Key Controls <i>(what controls/systems do we have in place to ensure we deliver our objectives)</i>	Assurance Obtained on Controls			Board Action Plan				
				Positive Assurance <i>(what evidence shows the risks are being managed and objectives are being delivered)</i>	Gaps in Controls <i>(where are we failing to put controls in place? Where are we failing to make them effective)</i>	Gaps in Assurance <i>(where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls/systems are effective)</i>	Action Plan	Responsible Director / Key Assurance Committee	6 Month (Sept 13) Forecast	Year End Forecast	
				membership of the OCH Programme Board included all clinical Project Directors with a particular focus on the delivery of productivity and clinical benefits.							
4 all	4. Risk of delay in the delivery programme to reconfiguration services due to the volume, pace, interdependence and complexity of the major change programme  <b>(carried forward from BAF 2012/13)</b>	Various CQC Outcomes	Strong stable Board, Executive Team & Divisional Team with development support. OCH project Board and structure. Project Team. Succession planning. DQHH programme board & project boards reports to FPC & Trust Board. Monitoring by FPC/ Executive Committee CIP programme monitoring through divisional performance reviews	Operational Project Team with track record of delivering on time & on budget  Robust risk register  OD programme with key outcomes  Q4 2012 Internal Audits on Governance and Risk & Assurance – positive draft reports	Some areas of the programme are outside the direct control of the Trust	Limited assurance where areas of the programme are outside the direct control of the Trust	Reporting through OCH project Board and structure  Reporting through DQHH project Board  Meetings with key stakeholders  Monitoring of interdependent service reconfiguration plans and associated risks by RAQC/FPC/Board	Executive Team. Board/FPC			

Obj No.	Principal Risk /Current Risk Score <i>(what should prevent this objective being achieved)</i> * Risk score – Likelihood v Consequence and Trust's risk scoring matrix = RAG rating	CQC / SHA Performance Framework Reference	Key Controls <i>(what controls/systems do we have in place to ensure we deliver our objectives)</i>	Assurance Obtained on Controls			Board Action Plan				
				Positive Assurance <i>(what evidence shows the risks are being managed and objectives are being delivered)</i>	Gaps in Controls <i>(where are we failing to put controls in place? Where are we failing to make them effective)</i>	Gaps in Assurance <i>(where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls/systems are effective)</i>	Action Plan	Responsible Director / Key Assurance Committee	6 Month (Sept 13) Forecast	Year End Forecast	
			OCH workforce management group, chaired by Director of Workforce & OD, to coordinate & lead delivery of required workforce changes.								
	5. Risk that the delivery of the regional Pathology strategy impacts on the Phase 4 programme timetable  <b>(Risk carried forward from 2012/13 BAF)</b>		Pathology Project Board in place led by Divisional Director of Clinical Support Services & Director of Business Development, OCH Programme Board  Regular management reports are presented to Executive Committee, OCH Programme Board and FPC.  Executive and NED lead	EoE procurement timetable has been confirmed and FBC produced.  Trust selection of consortia was based on ability to meet Trust strategic and clinical needs.  Strategic options paper considered by FPC in February 2012 with a particular focus on the delivery of the Pathology Strategy within Phase 4 programme timetable. Paper	Delivery of EoE Strategy relies upon external organisations to deliver according to Trust timetable and for EoE procurement timetable to not be delayed.	FBC for Lister Lab from 2014 to be developed and approved  Delays in regional project plans TPP JVC not yet signed  Potential review under Monitors new guidance	Working with TPP and CPS  Review workforce implications and HR programme management support  Reflect agreement for transfer of services off the QEII site in the TPP joint venture agreement.  Identify preferred hot lab option and procure.  Potential risk mitigations being developed	Director of Strategic Development / FPC /RAQC			

Obj No.	Principal Risk /Current Risk Score <i>(what should prevent this objective being achieved)</i> * Risk score – Likelihood v Consequence and Trust's risk scoring matrix = RAG rating	CQC / SHA Performance Framework Reference	Key Controls <i>(what controls/systems do we have in place to ensure we deliver our objectives)</i>	Assurance Obtained on Controls			Board Action Plan				
				Positive Assurance <i>(what evidence shows the risks are being managed and objectives are being delivered)</i>	Gaps in Controls <i>(where are we failing to put controls in place? Where are we failing to make them effective)</i>	Gaps in Assurance <i>(where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls/systems are effective)</i>	Action Plan	Responsible Director / Key Assurance Committee	6 Month (Sept 13) Forecast	Year End Forecast	
				outlines a number of commercial negotiating principles to inform JV negotiations; most successfully negotiated. Investment Plan approved by FPC and Board in Sept 2012.							
	6. Risk of access to capital availability and of competing service delivery priorities  <b>(carried forward from BAF 2012/13)</b>	Outcome 10	Established process for development and approval of business cases through capital control group. Board prioritisation Weekly Execs  Monthly capital cash flow to FPC & Board List of approved schemes compiled for 2012/13 Capital Programme	Strong Board with clear strategy and process for prioritisation.  All large business cases have been developed and approved.		Availability of the level of finance available through the capital programme  Programme & commitment of work outside of the Trust's control i.e. QEII	Ensure each scheme has full business case and implementation monitored through project boards	Director of Strategic Development / Director of Operations / Director of Finance / Capital Control Group/FPC			
4.8 4.1	7 Risk to these services following consultation & Trust		Track record of successfully winning new	Project Boards in place Vascular	Consultation / Engagement process is	Ensure accurate & up to date benchmarked	Provide response to Vascular services	Director of Strategic Development /			

Obj No.	Principal Risk /Current Risk Score <i>(what should prevent this objective being achieved)</i> * Risk score – Likelihood v Consequence and Trust's risk scoring matrix = RAG rating	CQC / SHA Performance Framework Reference	Key Controls <i>(what controls/systems do we have in place to ensure we deliver our objectives)</i>	Assurance Obtained on Controls			Board Action Plan			
				Positive Assurance <i>(what evidence shows the risks are being managed and objectives are being delivered)</i>	Gaps in Controls <i>(where are we failing to put controls in place? Where are we failing to make them effective)</i>	Gaps in Assurance <i>(where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls/systems are effective)</i>	Action Plan	Responsible Director / Key Assurance Committee	6 Month (Sept 13) Forecast	Year End Forecast
0	is not the preferred provider for Vascular or 24/7 Heart Attack Service		services – UCC & Renal Satellites  Monitoring of BC development through Executive Committee/DEC.	Services BC approved by Execs  PPCI FBC approved by FPC & Board in July 2012	outside of the control the Trust	quality/outcome data is available	engagement exercise & assess potential impact on other Trust services.  Medical Division developing the 24/7 PPCI implementation plan in conjunction with Heart & Stroke network.  Engage SCG in understanding commissioning process impact of proposal on other key specialties ie renal and help inform revised model to ensure no future adverse impact on quality and safety  Participate in Hertfordshire Clinical Engagement Group	FPC  Medical Director		
4.9 4.1 1	8. Risk that market share is not maintained at planned level due to lack of		Established links with Commissioners, OSC, CCG leads,	GP commissioning leads now attend monthly contract	Relationships require development. GPs wish to	Complete analysis of performance and future prediction in line	Medical Director and GP Consortia Chair to lead the replacement	Director of Strategic Development/ Medical Director		

Obj No.	Principal Risk /Current Risk Score <i>(what should prevent this objective being achieved)</i> * Risk score – Likelihood v Consequence and Trust's risk scoring matrix = RAG rating	CQC / SHA Performance Framework Reference	Key Controls <i>(what controls/systems do we have in place to ensure we deliver our objectives)</i>	Assurance Obtained on Controls			Board Action Plan			
				Positive Assurance <i>(what evidence shows the risks are being managed and objectives are being delivered)</i>	Gaps in Controls <i>(where are we failing to put controls in place? Where are we failing to make them effective)</i>	Gaps in Assurance <i>(where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls/systems are effective)</i>	Action Plan	Responsible Director / Key Assurance Committee	6 Month (Sept 13) Forecast	Year End Forecast
	clarity on GP Consortia & engagement.  <b>(Risk carried forward from 2012/13 BAF)</b>		Clinical Engagement Forum. Market Report to FPC. GP engagement Report to DEC. Marketing meetings with divisions include GP engagement and satisfaction  Paper to Trust Board in December 2011 regarding engagement with CCG's	meetings and engage with Dir of Finance and Dir of Operations.  Discussion regarding replacement of Clinical Engagement Forum/establishment of Clinical Senate have reached agreement between Medical Director and GP leads.	develop closer relationships with clinical directors/consultants.	with OCH FBC assumptions	Clinical Forum to support achievement of Trust and GP consortia aims.  Continue to further improve GP satisfaction with the trust – implement action plan (to be monitored by DEC). Continue to develop market share analysis and monitor market share.  Continue to establish relationships /engagement with new forums and emerging structures especially Bedfordshire CCG	/RAQC		
4.13	9. Risk of lack of expertise and clinical engagement to deliver the SLR project	FRR	Regular reporting to Board and FPC  Project plan Project support	Reports to Board and FBC  Q2 2010/11 PLICs report published.  Internal Audit	Ensuring all Clinical Director are involved in implementation & roll out of the programme	SLR system not yet available for use  Internal Audit – SLR	Continue to roll out use of PLICs within Divisions.  Quarterly updates to FPC. Regular and specific reference to plics	Director of Finance / FPC		



Obj No.	Principal Risk /Current Risk Score <i>(what should prevent this objective being achieved)</i> * Risk score – Likelihood v Consequence and Trust's risk scoring matrix = RAG rating	CQC / SHA Performance Framework Reference	Key Controls <i>(what controls/systems do we have in place to ensure we deliver our objectives)</i>	Assurance Obtained on Controls			Board Action Plan				
				Positive Assurance <i>(what evidence shows the risks are being managed and objectives are being delivered)</i>	Gaps in Controls <i>(where are we failing to put controls in place? Where are we failing to make them effective)</i>	Gaps in Assurance <i>(where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls/systems are effective)</i>	Action Plan	Responsible Director / Key Assurance Committee	6 Month (Sept 13) Forecast	Year End Forecast	
							information in monthly Finance Report  SLR project plan & implementation form April 2014				
4.1 4 4.1 2	10. Risk that the Trust breaches the terms of the agreed FT timeline & doesn't achieve FT milestones	DH/TDA integrated delivery model	Monthly Monitoring by Executive, FPC, RAQC & Board  Monthly performance meetings with TDA	Strong history on financial and governance performance		Draft FT timeline has not yet been formally approved by Board and TDA	Agree new FT timeline with Board and TDA in July 2013  Ensure continued delivery of Finance & Performance targets  Ensure organisational readiness for elections & Monitor assessment  Review and implement actions from QGF & BGAF assessments	CEO/Company Secretary/ Director of Operations /Director of Finance			
4.1 0	11 Risk to the reputation of the Trust if Clinicenta performance is poor		Weekly meetings with CCG & Clinicenta  Monitoring of	Whole systems approach being taken through Risk Summit	Delivery of the service is outside of the control of the Trust	Limited availability of information from Clinicenta  Trust receive	Maintain weekly meetings with CCG & Clinicenta  Monitoring of	CEO/ Executive Team			

Obj No.	Principal Risk /Current Risk Score <i>(what should prevent this objective being achieved)</i> * Risk score – Likelihood v Consequence and Trust’s risk scoring matrix = RAG rating	CQC / SHA Performance Framework Reference	Key Controls <i>(what controls/systems do we have in place to ensure we deliver our objectives)</i>	Assurance Obtained on Controls			Board Action Plan				
				Positive Assurance <i>(what evidence shows the risks are being managed and objectives are being delivered)</i>	Gaps in Controls <i>(where are we failing to put controls in place? Where are we failing to make them effective)</i>	Gaps in Assurance <i>(where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls/systems are effective)</i>	Action Plan	Responsible Director / Key Assurance Committee	6 Month (Sept 13) Forecast	Year End Forecast	
			SLA’s  Provision of waiting list expertise to Clinicenta as agreed with CCG  Reports to Execs, Board, Board Comms  Positive relationships with key stakeholders and media	MP’s & the Media to date have made the distinction between the Trust and Clinicenta	Potential – poor CQC inspection reports.	some of Clinicenta complaints	impact on Trust				

<b>QUARTERLY TRUST BOARD PROGRESS REPORTS (Executive Director Lead):</b> <i>(include progress on meeting the objective and the management of the principle risk)</i>	
Quarter 1 Progress Report:	
Quarter 2 Progress Report:	
Quarter 3 Progress Report:	
Quarter 4 Progress Report:	

Trust Strategic Objective 5: To support the continued development of the Mount Vernon Cancer Centre and provision of leading local and tertiary cancer services.						
Trust Annual Objectives 2013/14:			RAG	Achieved	Outcome /measure	
5.1	Agree a Memorandum of Understanding with Hillingdon Hospital NHSFT regarding the Mount Vernon site development plan which will enable the development of a business case to address the cancer centre infrastructure including re-provision of the wards and clinical areas.					MoU Agreed
5.2	Assess services provided by the Cancer Centre against the new cancer commissioning specification. Develop, agree and progress delivery of an action plan to support delivery of the specification.					Action plan & delivery against milestones
5.3	Work collaboratively with clinical commissioners and cancer systems to support the development of the Cancer Centre.					Agree plan

Obj No.	Principal Risk /Current Risk Score <i>(what should prevent this objective being achieved)</i> * Risk score – Likelihood v Consequence and Trust's risk scoring matrix = RAG rating	CQC / SHA Performance Framework Reference	Key Controls <i>(what controls/systems do we have in place to ensure we deliver our objectives)</i>	Assurance Obtained on Controls			Board Action Plan			
				Positive Assurance <i>(what evidence shows the risks are being managed and objectives are being delivered)</i>	Gaps in Controls <i>(where are we failing to put controls in place? Where are we failing to make them effective)</i>	Gaps in Assurance <i>(where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls/systems are effective)</i>	Action Plan	Responsible Director / Key Assurance Committee	6 Month (Sept 13) Forecast	Year End Forecast
5.1	1. Risk that agreement is not reached or funded for preferred site for MVCC redevelopment  <b>(Risk carried forward from 2012/13 BAF)</b>	All core outcomes	The Trust requires securing a leasehold interest in a section of the site sufficient to support future plans for cancer services. Quarterly CEO meetings between the Trusts. Commitment to work together secured. Current arrangements cause significant	Joint project team established by Trust and Hillingdon Hospital NHSFT including independent facilitator who will assist with the drawing up of heads of terms for future arrangements. Representations have been made to the planning authority in respect of their	Site is owned by Hillingdon Hospital NHSFT. Significant future developments by the Trust will be precluded if the Trust does not have a legal interest in the site. Planning may also be a problem	Progress will be restricted by complicated service arrangements and the need to fully engage with a range of stakeholders.	Finalise heads of terms for future site arrangements. Progress discussions with the planning authority  Confirm priority status of MV redevelopment with MVCN  Established Programme Board to coordinate site development as	Chief Executive/ Director of Strategic Estates		

Obj No.	Principal Risk /Current Risk Score <i>(what should prevent this objective being achieved)</i> * Risk score – Likelihood v Consequence and Trust's risk scoring matrix = RAG rating	CQC / SHA Performance Framework Reference	Key Controls <i>(what controls/systems do we have in place to ensure we deliver our objectives)</i>	Assurance Obtained on Controls			Board Action Plan				
				Positive Assurance <i>(what evidence shows the risks are being managed and objectives are being delivered)</i>	Gaps in Controls <i>(where are we failing to put controls in place? Where are we failing to make them effective)</i>	Gaps in Assurance <i>(where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls/systems are effective)</i>	Action Plan	Responsible Director / Key Assurance Committee	6 Month (Sept 13) Forecast	Year End Forecast	
			problems for both Trusts. The release of surplus land could enable the necessary infrastructure developments.	local development framework			per Trust Board decision. CEO & Chairs meeting				
5.1	<b>2. Risks to business continuity due to specific estate risks</b>		Mini Board to Boards established in 2013. Joint MV Governance Board Reports to RAQC/FPC MV 2015 Project Board in place			SLA's not reviewed  Works not yet commissioned / completed by HHFT following the external electrical infrastructure assessment  Gaps identified in business continuity	Liaise with HHFT to ensure works completed following failure to electrical infrastructure  Review and agree SLA's with HHFT  Review joint Major Incident Plan with HHFT fir the MV stie  Review Trust MV business continuity plans	Director of Strategic Development / RAQC/FPC			
5.2 5.3	<b>3. Risk of not winning tender processes for future commissioning intentions (Long term risk)</b>  <b>(carried forward and amended from BAF 2012/13)</b>		Trust has contributed significantly to development of PCT feasibility study. Trust has MVCC clinical leadership & expertise to distinguish itself from competitors. Project structure	Standing item on OCH programme board and Cancer Division Performance Review  Cancer Satellite project board in place. Trust submitted	Availability of capital funds.	Implications of against the new cancer commissioning specification not yet known.	Cancer divisional project board continuing to complete & explore options for delivery service at tariff.  To confirm commissioning intentions and develop & agree	Director of Strategic Development			

Obj No.	Principal Risk /Current Risk Score <i>(what should prevent this objective being achieved)</i> * Risk score – Likelihood v Consequence and Trust’s risk scoring matrix = RAG rating	CQC / SHA Performance Framework Reference	Key Controls <i>(what controls/systems do we have in place to ensure we deliver our objectives)</i>	Assurance Obtained on Controls			Board Action Plan				
				Positive Assurance <i>(what evidence shows the risks are being managed and objectives are being delivered)</i>	Gaps in Controls <i>(where are we failing to put controls in place? Where are we failing to make them effective)</i>	Gaps in Assurance <i>(where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls/systems are effective)</i>	Action Plan	Responsible Director / Key Assurance Committee	6 Month (Sept 13) Forecast	Year End Forecast	
			in place to respond to commissioning intentions. Academic Partnership & AHSN	interim response to PCT in April 2011.  Implications of emergence of integrated cancer systems in London are being confirmed by Cancer Divisions – report to Trust Board May 2011.			and implement action plan				

<b>QUARTERLY TRUST BOARD PROGRESS REPORTS (Executive Director Lead):</b> <i>(include progress on meeting the objective and the management of the principle risk)</i>	
Quarter 1 Progress Report:	
Quarter 2 Progress Report:	
Quarter 3 Progress Report:	
Quarter 4 Progress Report:	

Trust Strategic Objective 6: To improve our staff engagement and organisational culture to be amongst the best nationally.						
Trust Annual Objectives 2013/14:			RAG	Achieved	Outcome /measure	
6.1	Develop the detailed workforce strategy and delivery plan required to manage and deliver the Phase 4 workforce skill mix changes and planned workforce reductions and pay savings in line with Phase 4, the Trust FT application and the Hertfordshire QIPP plan					Workforce Report / LTFM
6.2	To implement a workforce recruitment strategy and reduce ward nurse vacancy rates to below 6.0%					Vacancy rate 6%/ Floodlight scorecard
6.3	Increase the level of customer service and patient experience within the Trust, ensuring 90% of staff attend customer service training and focus on priority areas					Culture Indicator/ Floodlight scorecard
6.4	Ensure mechanisms and processes for staff to raise concerns are firmly established and a clear understanding of the issues in order to reduce bullying and harassment					National Staff Survey

Obj No.	Principal Risk /Current Risk Score <i>(what should prevent this objective being achieved)</i> * Risk score – Likelihood v Consequence and Trust's risk scoring matrix = RAG rating	CQC / TDA Performance Framework Reference	Key Controls <i>(what controls/systems do we have in place to ensure we deliver our objectives)</i>	Assurance Obtained on Controls			Board Action Plan			
				Positive Assurance <i>(what evidence shows the risks are being managed and objectives are being delivered)</i>	Gaps in Controls <i>(where are we failing to put controls in place? Where are we failing to make them effective)</i>	Gaps in Assurance <i>(where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls/systems are effective)</i>	Action Plan	Responsible Director / Key Assurance Committee	6 Month (Sept 13) Forecast	Year End Forecast
6.1	1. Risk of lack of engagement, capacity & capability to deliver the workforce plans  <b>(carried forward from 2012/13)</b>	CQC outcomes	Workforce Management Group Reports to OCH Programme Board & FPC	Reports to FPC/RAQC & Board	Currently limited information across the Trust on future workforce needs/ skill mix  Vacancy rate high and shifts unfilled by NHSP	Detailed future workforce assessments not fully established  Current vacancy rate & unfilled shifts (medical & nursing)	Review data & develop future workforce plans across all divisions  Recruitment strategy	Director of Strategic Development/ Director of Workforce & OD /FPC		
6.2	2. Risk of not being able to recruit sufficient staff with	CQC outcomes 12,13,14	New recruitment strategy 2013 Monitoring of	Reports to FPC/RAQC & Board	Vacancy rate currently over 10% in some	Lengthy recruitment process	Implement recruitment strategy	Director of Strategic Development/		

Obj No.	Principal Risk /Current Risk Score <i>(what should prevent this objective being achieved)</i> * Risk score – Likelihood v Consequence and Trust's risk scoring matrix = RAG rating	CQC / TDA Performance Framework Reference	Key Controls <i>(what controls/systems do we have in place to ensure we deliver our objectives)</i>	Assurance Obtained on Controls			Board Action Plan			
				Positive Assurance <i>(what evidence shows the risks are being managed and objectives are being delivered)</i>	Gaps in Controls <i>(where are we failing to put controls in place? Where are we failing to make them effective)</i>	Gaps in Assurance <i>(where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls/systems are effective)</i>	Action Plan	Responsible Director / Key Assurance Committee	6 Month (Sept 13) Forecast	Year End Forecast
	required expertise due to national shortage		progress & vacancy rate at Board & its committees, Division PMRs.		areas		Review & streamline recruitment process	Director of Workforce & OD /FPC		
6.3	3. Risk of failure to engage the workforce and leadership in Our Changing Organisation (ARC) & customer care Programmes therefore impacting on quality, targets and cost of service delivery  <b>(Updated &amp; Risk carried forward from 2012/13 BAF)</b>  <b>(Longer term risk)</b>	Regulation 22 & 23 (outcomes 13 & 14)	Monthly reports to Board committees on floodlight score card (workforce & cultural indicators) and exception reports  October 2010 Organisational Development Strategy & ARC steering group reporting to RAQC & Board. People & Workforce Development Strategy approved by Board March 2012  Workforce Management Group  Board development session culture	Workforce efficiency data reported monthly to Board Committees with exception reports.  Health & Wellbeing Strategy approved by RAQC, Dec 2012.  Positive staff survey 2013-+engagement scores  Customer care training in place  Internal Staff survey	Not meeting all workforce targets	Cultural indicators established for 1/4ly monitoring  Consistently implementing ARC sessions across the organisation  <i>Internal Audits - workforce</i>  <b>People &amp; Workforce Development Strategy currently under review.</b>  Staff perception of gaps following the on Francis  Staff survey results show need for improvement to reduce bullying & harassment	Continue to monitor & implement ARC programme  Monitor implementation of action plan from Annual Staff Survey as part of ARC programme  Performance monitor appraisal rates, mandatory training & workforce indicators  Quarterly internal audit of staff cultural indicators  Review of cultural indicators  Review of Workforce Strategy 2013  ARC programme	Director of Strategic Development/ Workforce & OD / RAQC		

Obj No.	Principal Risk /Current Risk Score <i>(what should prevent this objective being achieved)</i> * Risk score – Likelihood v Consequence and Trust's risk scoring matrix = RAG rating	CQC / TDA Performance Framework Reference	Key Controls <i>(what controls/systems do we have in place to ensure we deliver our objectives)</i>	Assurance Obtained on Controls			Board Action Plan				
				Positive Assurance <i>(what evidence shows the risks are being managed and objectives are being delivered)</i>	Gaps in Controls <i>(where are we failing to put controls in place? Where are we failing to make them effective)</i>	Gaps in Assurance <i>(where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls/systems are effective)</i>	Action Plan	Responsible Director / Key Assurance Committee	6 Month (Sept 13) Forecast	Year End Forecast	
			held in March 2013 and further Board session on culture in Q3.  E&D steering group				& Customer care Training  Action plan following Francis Inquiry focus groups				
6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4	4. Failure to achieve workforce targets and risk of failure to engage with the workforce to support service redesign <b>(Risk carried forward from 2012/13 BAF)</b>	Regulation 22 & 23 (outcomes 13 & 14)	Workforce plans within OCH & support IBP. Trust & Divisional workforce action plans. HR & Staff side representative on each project board. Workforce leads resourced & group report to OCH Weekly Mandatory Training to Execs	Maternity Services workforce plan. Regular reports to Divisional Performance reviews. Vacancy management processes OCH Progress Board  Internal Audit: sickness management Amber /Green-action completed  Divisional Mandatory training figures showing 80% compliance (Jan 2012)	Workforce planning currently at a high level  Sickness and Mandatory Training floodlight indicators amber  Vacancy rate high and shifts unfilled by NHSP	Service level implementation of the workforce plans  Data systems do not currently support robust monitoring  Current vacancy rate & unfilled shifts (medical & nursing)	Work with the Divisions to further develop and implement workforce plans at an operational level to ensure changes in skill mix in line with OCH & other service developments  Review People & Workforce Strategy  Implement use of workforce assurance tool  ESR project plan  Statutory & Mandatory Training plan & trajectory  Recruitment strategy	Director of Strategic Development / FPC/ OCH Project Board			



**QUARTERLY TRUST BOARD PROGRESS REPORTS (Executive Director Lead):**  
*(include progress on meeting the objective and the management of the principle risk)*

**Quarter 1 Progress Report:**

**Quarter 2 Progress Report:**

**Quarter 3 Progress Report:**

**Quarter 4 Progress Report:**